

**From:** root@wt6.usdoj.gov@inetgw  
**To:** Microsoft ATR  
**Date:** 12/4/01 10:31pm  
**Subject:** Doj-Microsoft settlement

I would like to say that I don't agree with the current settlement that is proposed by Microsoft. I don't understand how a corporation convicted of monopolistic business practices is allowed to settle by paying a minimum amount.

Also, why should they be allowed to buy their way into another source of future revenue? Isn't that what this was all about in the beginning. Allowing Microsoft to settle with a slap on the hand is not sufficient. It just goes to show others that the one with the big wallet has their way over the rest of us.

I don't believe that allowing them off the hook is going to bring back the tech sector.

The only thing that will come back is Microsoft's guaranteed income and not the rest of ours. This is just an excuse to allow them to implement technology that they want on their terms. (monopoly).

Thanks for taking the time to read this.

Richard H. Quaas